THE INADEQUACY OF INPUT-OUTPUT INFORMATION IN ROBUST COMPENSATOR DESIGN

J. DANIEL COBB Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706

Recent work by Vidyasagar [1], Khalil [2], Rohrs [3], and Ioannou and Kokotovic [4] has addressed the problems caused by unmodelled dynamics or parasitics in a closed-loop control system. We are specifically interested in the results of [1] where it is shown that, for any plant (A,B,C,D), any singularly perturbed augmentation of that plant

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{1} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{1} & \mathbf{C}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$
(1a)

where

$$A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} = A, B_{1} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}B_{2} = B$$

$$C_{1} - C_{2}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} = C, - C_{2}A_{22}^{-1}B_{2} = D$$
(1b)

and any fixed strictly proper compensator, if the corresponding closed-loop system is stable at $\epsilon=0$, then so is the perturbed closed-loop system for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. We wish to explore the consequences of perturbing the compensator as well. Let (F,G,H,0) be any compensator and consider perturbations of the form (1).

Theorem 1 Let R < ∞ and $\gamma > 0$. There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and singular perturbations (1) of the plant and compensator such that the closed-loop configuration of the perturbed systems has a pole p_ϵ satisfying $|p_\epsilon| > R$ and $|arg| p_\epsilon | < \gamma$ whenever $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$.

Theorem 1 says that perturbations of plant and compensator can always be found which destabilize the closed-loop system. The proof of the theorem is based on examination of the perturbed closed-loop system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{H}_{1} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{H}_{2} \\ \mathbf{G}_{1}^{C}_{1} & \mathbf{F}_{11} & \mathbf{G}_{1}^{C}_{2} & \mathbf{F}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{B}_{2}\mathbf{H}_{1} & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{B}_{2}\mathbf{H}_{2} \\ \mathbf{G}_{2}^{C}_{1} & \mathbf{F}_{21} & \mathbf{G}_{2}^{C}_{2} & \mathbf{F}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{z} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \\ \boldsymbol{\zeta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{1} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & 0 & c_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \\ \xi \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}$$

It can be shown that A_{22} , B_2 , C_2 , F_{22} , G_2 , and H_2 can always be found to make the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} A_{22} & B_2H_2 \\ G_2C_2 & F_{22} \end{array}\right]$$

unstable; hence, the closed-loop system is unstable for $\epsilon\,>\,0\,.$

An important property of the perturbations (1) is that, in a certain sense, they exhibit the same input-output behavior as the nominal system. Specifically, let $\mathcal{U}=$ all C^1 functions $u:[0,\tau] \to \mathbb{R}^M$ with u(0)=0, max $\|u(t)\| < K_0$, and max $\|\dot{u}(t)\| < K_1$ for some finite constants τ , K_1 , and K_2 , independent of u.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \underline{ \text{Theorem 2}} & \text{If } \delta > 0, \text{ there exists } \epsilon_0 > 0 \text{ such that, whenever } u \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \\ 0 \leq \epsilon < \epsilon_0, \text{ the respective outputs y and y}_{\epsilon} \text{ of (1) and (2) satisfy} \end{array}$

$$\max_{0 \le t \le \tau} \|y(t) - y_{\varepsilon}(t)\| < 5.$$

Interpreting the number δ as the minimum achievable measurement error, Theorem 2 shows that the nominal model (A,B,C,D) and the perturbed model (1) are equivalent in an input-output sense for sufficiently small ϵ . An analogous statement applies to the compensator. Hence, Theorems 1 and 2 combine to show that, if only input-output information is available in the plant and compensator models, stable closed-loop behavior can never be guaranteed.

- [1] M. Vidyasagar, "Robust Stabilization of Singularly Perturbed Systems," Systems and Control Letters, 5 (1985), 413-418.
- [2] H. K. Khalil, "A Further Note on the Robustness of Feedback Control Methods to Modelling Errors," IEEE Trans. Auto. Control, 29 (1984), 403-418.
- [3] C. E. Rohrs, L. Valvani, M. Athans, G. Stein, "Robustness of Continuous-Time Adaptive Control Algorithms in the Presence of Unmodelled Dynamics," *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control*, (30) 1985, 881-889.
- [4] P. Ioannou, P. V. Kokotovic, "Singular Perturbations and Robust Redesign of Adaptive Control," Proc. 21st IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control," Dec. 1982, 24-29.