THE MINIMAL DIMENSIONALITY OF STABLE FACES REQUIRED TO GUARANTEE STABILITY OF A MATRIX POLYTOPE

J. Daniel Cobb Christopher L. DeMarco

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Wisconsin 1415 Johnson Drive Madison, WI 53706-1691

ABSTRACT

We consider the problem of determining whether a polytope of nxn matrices is stable, by checking stability of low-dimensional faces of the polytope. We show that stability of all (2n-4)-dimensional faces guarantees stability of the entire set. Furthermore, we prove that, for any n and any k≥2n-4, there exists an unstable polytope of dimension k such that all its (2n-5)-dimensional subpolytopes are stable.

1. Background and Introduction

In this paper we consider the problem of ascertaining whether certain subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ consist entirely of stable matrices. (Here we take stability of a matrix to mean that all its eigenvalues are in the open left half-plane).

First we need some definitions. A polytope P in a vector space V is the convex hull of any finite subset of V. The <u>dimension</u> of $\mathcal P$ is the dimension of the smallest linear variety containing $\mathcal P$. A <u>vertex</u> of $\mathcal P$ is any singleton of the form $\Lambda \cap \mathcal{P}$, where Λ is a supporting hyperplane of \mathcal{P} . A k-dimensional subpolytope of \mathcal{P} is any k-dimensional polytope which is also the convex hull of some of the vertices of \mathcal{P} . A k-dimensional face is a k-dimensional subpolytope of $\mathcal P$ contained in the boundary of $\mathcal P$. Finally, a k-dimensional half-plane in $\mathcal V$ is any set of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f(x) \in V \middle| x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k, x_k \ge 0\}$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow V$ is affine and one-to-one.

In the robust control literature, considerable interest has been generated recently by the problem of determining whether stability of a polytope in either \mathbb{R}^n or $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be guaranteed simply by checking stability of low-dimensional faces. (Stability of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ means that the polynomial $s^n + x_n s^{n-1} + \dots + x_1$ is Hurwitz.) For example, for polynomial polytopes of a particularly simple structure ("interval polynomials") Kharitonov [1] showed that only four specially constructed vertices need be checked. A recent result of Bartlett, Hollot, and Lin [2] demonstrates that for an arbitrary polynomial polytope, checking all 1-dimensional faces ("edges") is sufficient to

guarantee stability of \mathcal{P} . With respect to polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, Fu and Barmish [3] have shown that stability of all 1-dimensional subpolytopes is insufficient to guarantee stability of \mathcal{P} . DeMarco [4] has shown that, in fact, (n-2)-dimensional faces are insufficient, but that 2n-dimensional faces are sufficient.

In this paper we refine these bounds (for n≥3) and arrive at an integer m such that checking stability of all m-dimensional faces is sufficient to guarantee stability of \mathcal{P} . Furthermore, we show that for any n and k≥m there exists an unstable polytope of dimension k with all (m-1)-dimensional subpolytopes stable; hence m is minimal. We also show that, for k<m, there exists a k-dimensional unstable polytope with all k-dimensional subpolytopes stable.

2. Sufficiency of m=2n-4

We begin with a result characterizing the geometry of the set of unstable points in $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}^{n\times n}.$

Lemma For each unstable A∈Rn×n, there exists an (n^2-2n+4) -dimensional half-plane $\Re \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that 1) A∈R and 2) B∈R implies B is unstable.

Proof Case I: A has a real eigenvalue λ₀≥0. Let T=[v W], where v is an eigenvector corresponding to λ_0 and W is chosen to make T nonsingular.

Consider the
$$(n^2-n+1)$$
-dimensional half-plane $\mathcal{H}=\{T\begin{bmatrix}\lambda&y\\0&Z\end{bmatrix}T^{-1}\bigg|\lambda\geq\lambda_0,y\in\mathbb{R}^{1\times n-1},Z\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1\times n-1}\}$

Then $A \in \mathcal{H}$ and every matrix in \mathcal{H} is unstable. Also, n2-n+1≥n2-2n+4.

Case II: A has a complex eigenvalue pair $\alpha_0 \pm i\beta_0$ with $\alpha_0 \ge 0$. Let T = [v w X], where v + iw is an eigenvector corresponding to $\alpha_0 + i \beta_0$ and X is chosen to make T nonsingular. Consider the

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ T \begin{bmatrix} U & Y \\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \mid \text{tr} U \ge 2\alpha_0, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times n - 2}, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n - 2 \times n - 2} \}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{thusen} \quad \text{thusen} \\ (n^2-2n+4)-\text{dimensional half-plane} \\ \quad \mathcal{H}=\left\{T\begin{bmatrix}U&Y\\0&Z\end{bmatrix}T^{-1} \middle| \text{trU}\geq 2\alpha_0, \text{yeR}^{2\times n-2}, \text{ZeR}^{n-2\times n-2}\right\} \\ \mathcal{H} \quad \text{is unstable, since } \text{trU}\geq \alpha_0 \quad \text{implies } \text{U has at} \\ \end{array}$ least one eigenvalue λ with $\text{Re}\lambda \geq \alpha_0$. Also, $\text{A}\in\mathcal{H}$. since our choice of T guarantees that A has

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 \\ -\beta_0 & \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. ECS-8612948.

<u>Corollary</u> Stability of every matrix in every (2n-4)-dimensional face of $\mathcal P$ guarantees stability of every matrix in $\mathcal F$.

<u>Proof</u> If $\mathcal P$ contains an unstable A, there exists an (n^2-2n-4) -dimensional half-plane $\mathcal H$ consisting entirely of unstable points and containing A. From dimensionality arguments, such a plane must intersect a (2n-4)-dimensional face of $\mathcal P$. (See [4] for details.)

3. Minimality of m=2n-4

In this section we show that, for every integer n, there exists a polytope $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ containing an unstable point and such that all (2n-5)-dimensional subpolytopes of \mathcal{P} are stable. Hence, we conclude that checking stability of k-dimensional subpolytopes of \mathcal{P} , for any k<2n-4 is, in general, not sufficient to guarantee stability of \mathcal{P} .

Consider the polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -1 & 0 & -\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{y} & -\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \quad \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}$$

A routine calculation shows that $\mathcal P$ has characteristic polynomial $p(s)=(s+1)^{n-4}\Delta(s)$, where

$$\Delta(s) = s^{4} + 2s^{3} + (2 + x^{T}x + y^{T}y)s^{2} + (2 + x^{T}x + y^{T}y)s + 1 + x^{T}xy^{T}y - (x^{T}y)^{2}$$

From the Schwartz inequality, it is clear that all coefficients are strictly positive. The corresponding 4×4 Hurwitz matrix has its leading principal 3×3 minor equal to

$$M_3(x,y) = 4x^Tx + 4y^Ty + 4(x^Ty)^2 + (x^Tx - y^Ty)^2$$

Clearly, $M_3 \ge 0$ with equality iff x=y=0. Thus, $\mathcal P$ consists entirely of stable points, except for the relative interior point corresponding to x=y=0. We conclude that checking (2n-5)-dimensional faces (in this case the entire boundary of $\mathcal P$) is insufficient to guarantee stability.

- 2) Since the union of all (2n-5)-dimensional subpolytopes is nowhere dense, shifting the parameter set $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\infty} \le 1$ by an arbitrarily small

vector yields an unstable polytope with all (2n-5)-dimensional subpolytopes stable.

3) The polytope \mathcal{P} described above can be transformed into a similar example with any given dimension either by removing parameters or by using \mathcal{P} as a face of a higher dimensional polytope.

Note that the constructions described in 1), 2), and 3) can be carried out simultaneously to given a stronger but algebraically messy version of the minimality proof offered above.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that m=2n-4 is the smallest integer such that stability of all m-dimensional subpolytopes of a given polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ guarantees stability of \mathcal{P} . Furthermore, we have demonstrated that checking m-dimensional faces is always sufficient. This reduces the task of determining whether a polytope is stable to that of deciding whether several low-dimensional polytopes are stable. Our result has certain theoretical significance; however, more work needs to be done before it can be decided whether the result will help to reduce the computational burden inherent in robust system design.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. L. Kharitonov, "Asymptotic Stability of an Equilibrium Position of a Family of Systems of Linear Differential Equations," *Differential'nye Uravneniya*, Vol. 14, no. 11, 1483-1485, 1978.
- [2] A. C. Bartlett, C. V. Hollot, and H. Lin. "Root Locations of an Entire Polytope of Polynomials: It Suffices to Check the Edges." Proceedings of the American Control Conference, 1611-1616, 1987.
- [3] M. Fu. B. R. Barmish, "Stability of a Polytope of Matrices: Counterexamples," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, in press.
- [4] C. L. DeMarco, "Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Stability of Polytopes of Matrices through Tests of Lower Dimensional Subsets." Proceeding of the Twenty-Fifth Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing, 72-77, 1987.